Evaluating Deterministic Models of Time Series by Comparison to Observations

Amy Braverman

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

March 22, 2018

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

Introduction

Idea: Given two time series, one an observed benchmark, **Z**, and one a target, **X**,

- ▶ decompose each into a signal component and a noise component

- ▶ compute D, the distance between the benchmark and target signal series

- ▶ transform D into a probability...

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

Introduction

▶ consider D to be the value of a test statistic for testing H_0 : the benchmark
and the target share the same signal

▶ the p-value of this test is a measure of the agreement of the data with the
hypothesis, H_0 (Wasserstein and Lazar, 2016)

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

► *This p-value is the probability of getting a value of D at least as extreme as the one obtained from the benchmark and the target, if they really did share the same signal.*

► The main technical challenge is obtaining the sampling distribution of D under H_0.

Statistical model and test statistic

$$\mathbf{X} = (X(1), X(2), \ldots, X(T))', \quad X(t) = \hat{\alpha}_X + \hat{\beta}_X t + \tilde{X}(t), \quad t = 1, \ldots, T.$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = (Z(1), Z(2), \ldots, Z(T))', \quad Z(t) = \hat{\alpha}_Z + \hat{\beta}_Z t + \tilde{Z}(t), \quad t = 1, \ldots, T.$$

Convenient assumption here: T is an exact power of 2; $T = 2^J$.

Statistical model and test statistic

Wavelet decomposition:

$$\tilde{X}(t) = \tilde{X}^s(t) + \tilde{X}^n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{J_0-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j-1} \hat{\gamma}_{Xjk} W_{jk}(t/T) + \sum_{j=J_0}^{J-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j-1} \hat{\gamma}_{Xjk} W_{jk}(t/T),$$

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = \tilde{Z}^s(t) + \tilde{Z}^n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{J_0-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j-1} \hat{\gamma}_{Zjk} W_{jk}(t/T) + \sum_{j=J_0}^{J-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j-1} \hat{\gamma}_{Zjk} W_{jk}(t/T),$$

Statistical model and test statistic


Wavelet decomposition:

$$\tilde{X}^s(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{J_0-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j-1} \hat{\gamma}_{Xjk} \, W_{jk}(t/T) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{r}}_X = \left(\hat{\gamma}_{X00}, \ldots, \hat{\gamma}_{X(J_0-1)(2^{(J_0-1)}-1)} \right)',$$

$$\tilde{Z}^s(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{J_0-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^j-1} \hat{\gamma}_{Zjk} \, W_{jk}(t/T) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{r}}_Z = \left(\hat{\gamma}_{Z00}, \ldots, \hat{\gamma}_{Z(J_0-1)(2^{(J_0-1)}-1)} \right)'.$$

Sampling distribution under H_0

$\{\mathbf{Z}_b^*, \mathbf{X}_b^*\}_{b=1}^B$

$\mathbf{Z}_1^*$	$\mathbf{X}_1^*$
$\mathbf{Z}_2^*$	$\mathbf{X}_2^*$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\mathbf{Z}_B^*$	$\mathbf{X}_B^*$

Detrend, split,
compute distance

$\{D_b^*\}_{b=1}^B$

D_1^*
D_2^*
$\vdots$
D_B^*

Alternative plausible realizations of $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{X}$ give rise to sampling distribution of D^*

Linked ensemble members

hadcrut4
CCSM4

Sampling Distribution of D^*

Density

Sampling distribution under H_0

bth linked ensemble member:

$$\mathbf{Z}_b^* = \hat{\alpha}_Z \mathbf{1} + \hat{\beta}_Z \mathbf{t} + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^s + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_b^{n*},$$

$$\mathbf{X}_b^* = \hat{\alpha}_X \mathbf{1} + \hat{\beta}_X \mathbf{t} + \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^s + \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_b^{n*}.$$

$\mathbf{1}$ = length T vector of one's; $\mathbf{t} = (1, 2, \ldots, T)'$.

Application to climate models

- Observational benchmark: HadCRUT4 (Morice et al., 2012) monthly global average surface temperature anomaly, May 1918 through August 2003 (1024 months).

- Model targets: 139 model runs using 44 different models from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5 (CMIP5) historical experiment, May 1918 through August 2003.

- All time series computed relative to their 1961–1990 averages.

- "Signal" defined as the coarsest three wavelet decomposition levels ($J_0 = 3$), which corresponds to approximately ten-year cycles.

- Resampling performed with $B = 5000$ trials.

Application to climate models

Model	Center	Members	Model	Center	Members
ACCESS1-0	CSIRO-BOM (Australia)	1	GFDL-ESM2M	GFDL (USA)	1
ACCESS1-3	CSIRO-BOM (Australia)	3	GISS-E2-H p1	NASA GISS (USA)	1
BCC-CSM-1	Beijing Climate Center (PRC)	3	GISS-E2-H-CC p1	NASA GISS (USA)	6
BCC-CSM-1-M	Beijing Climate Center (PRC)	3	GISS-E2-R p1	NASA GISS (USA)	1
BNU-ESM	Beijing Normal Univ. (PRC)	1	GISS-E2-R-CC p1	NASA GISS (USA)	6
CanSM2	CCCMA (Canada)	5	HadGEM2-AO	NIMR/KMA (UK/Korea)	1
CCSM4	NCAR (USA)	6	HadGEM2-CC	MOHC/INPE (UK/Brazil)	1
CESM1-BGC	NCAR/DOE/NSF (USA)	1	HadGEM2-ES	MOHC/INPE (UK/Brazil)	4
CESM1-CAM5	NCAR/DOE/NSF (USA)	3	INMCM4	INM (Russia)	1
CESM1-CAM5-1-FV2	NCAR/DOE/NSF (USA)	4	IPSL-CM5A-LR	IPSL (France)	6
CESM1-FASTCHEM	NCAR/DOE/NSF (USA)	3	IPSL-CM5A-MR	IPSL (France)	3
CESM1-WACCM	NCAR/DOE/NSF (USA)	1	IPSL-CM5B-LR	IPSL (France)	1
CMCC-CESM	CMCC (Italy)	1	MIROC-ESM	MIROC (Japan)	3
CMCC-CM	CMCC (Italy)	1	MIROC-ESM-CHEM	MIROC (Japan)	1
CMCC-CMS	CMCC (Italy)	1	MIROC5	MIROC (Japan)	5
CNRM-CM5	CNRM (France)	10	MPI-ESM-LR	MPI (Germany)	3
CSIRO-Mk3-6-0	CSIRO (Australia)	10	MPI-ESM-MR	MPI (Germany)	3
EC-EARTH	EC-EARTH Consortium (Europe)	9	MPI-ESM-P	MPI (Germany)	2
FGOALS-g2	LASG (PRC)	5	MRI-CGM3	MRI (Japan)	3
FIO-ESM	FIO (PRC)	3	MRI-ESM1	MRI (Japan)	1
GFDL-CM3	GFDL (USA)	5	NorESM1-M	NCC (Norway)	3
GFDL-ESM2G	GFDL (USA)	3	NorESM1-ME	NCC (Norway)	1

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

∗ indicates runs for which ARIMA assumptions failed.

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

- ▶ Nearly all models' outputs with more than one ensemble member show considerable variation.

- ▶ This is a property of their time series, particularly their noise series.

- ▶ We exploit their structures to mimic "internal variability" through resampling.

- ▶ Is this the right definition of internal variability?

- ▶ See Braverman, A., Chatterjee, S., Heyman, M., and Cressie, N.: Probabilistic evaluation of competing climate models, Adv. Stat. Clim. Meteorol. Oceanogr., 3, 93-105, https://doi.org/10.5194/ascmo-3-93-2017, 2017.

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

Question or comments? Contact Amy.Braverman@jpl.nasa.gov.

©2018 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.